Imperial College London

### QCD and deep inelastic scattering

### Alex Tapper

Slides available at:

http://www.hep.ph.ic.ac.uk/~tapper/lecture.html

### The HERA collider







Two 6.3 km long accelerators:

Proton accelerator energy 920 GeV

Electron/positron accelerator energy 27.5 GeV

Equivalent to a 50 TeV fixed-target expt.

### Lepton beam polarisation



• Transverse polarisation of leptons builds up naturally through synchrotron radiation

$$P_{Y}(t) = -P_{ST}(1 - e^{-t/\tau_{ST}})$$

- Measured by two independent Compton polarimeters
- Spin rotators convert to longitudinal polarisation
- Polarisations over 50% achieved



### The ZEUS detector



#### Calorimeter

EM: 
$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{18\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 1\%$$

Systematic 1-2%

HAD: 
$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{35\%}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 1\%$$

Systematic 1-2%

#### Tracking

Central: 15° < θ < 164° Silicon: 7° < θ < 158°

### **HERA** kinematics



- Q<sup>2</sup> is the probing power
- x is the Bjorken scaling variable
- y is the inelasticity

Neutral current: exchange of  $\gamma$  or  $Z^0$ 

Charged current: exchange of W<sup>±</sup>

$$Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')^2$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q} \quad y = \frac{p \cdot q}{p \cdot k}$$

$$s = (p+k)^2 \quad Q^2 = x \cdot y \cdot s$$

Kinematics over-constrained. Can reconstruct event from any two of  $\theta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $E_e$  and  $E_q$ 

### Neutral current DIS cross section

NC Reduced cross section: 
$$\widetilde{\sigma}_{NC}(x,Q^2)$$
  

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma^{NC}(e^{\pm}p)}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{xQ^4} Y_+ \begin{bmatrix} F_2 - \frac{y^2}{Y_+} F_L m \frac{Y_-}{Y_+} xF_3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1-y)^2$$
Dominant contribution
Sizeable only at high y

Contribution only important at high  $Q^2$ 

$$F_{2} = F_{2}^{em} + \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}} F_{2}^{\gamma Z} + \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}}\right]^{2} F_{2}^{Z} \propto \sum_{q=u...b} (q + \overline{q})$$

$$xF_{3} = \frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}} xF_{3}^{\gamma Z} + \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{Q^{2} + M_{Z}^{2}}\right]^{2} xF_{3}^{Z} \propto \sum_{q=u...b} (q - \overline{q})$$

Graduate lectures October - November 2007

### Charged current DIS cross section

CC e⁺p cross section:

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma^{CC}(e^+ p)}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} \left( \frac{M_W^2}{M_W^2 + Q^2} \right)^2 \left[ \overline{u} + \overline{c} + (1 - y)^2 (d + s) \right]$$

CC e<sup>-</sup>p cross section:

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma^{CC}(e^- p)}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} \left( \frac{M_W^2}{M_W^2 + Q^2} \right)^2 \left[ u + c + (1 - y)^2 (\overline{d} + \overline{s}) \right]$$

Electron/positron-proton collisions probe different quark content of proton

Big difference in cross section magnitude

Cross sections suppressed due to large mass of W boson compared to NC DIS

### NC events in the ZEUS detector



Isolated high  $P_T$  positron with hadronic jet balanced in  $\phi$ 

### CC events in the ZEUS detector



### Missing transverse momentum from the undetected neutrino

### Kinematic range of HERA data



Reaching values of  $Q^2 \ge 30000 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

Graduate lectures October - November 2007

### **Experimental progress**





### Before HERA:

 $\rightarrow$  wide range of predictions

### The shape of the proton

A single particle

Three valence quarks

Three valence quarks with interactions

Valence and sea quarks with interactions

HERA extends proton structure measurements to low x Rise at low x is a function of  $Q^2$ 





Graduate lectures October - November 2007

### Structure function measurements



 $F_2 \propto \sum e_q^2 x(q + \overline{q})$ 

- Impact of HERA clear
- F<sub>2</sub> dominates cross section
- Measured with precision of ~2-3%
- Sensitive to sum of quarks and antiquarks
- F<sub>2</sub> sensitive to gluon density via QCD radiation
- Scaling violations
  - Largest at low x
  - Driven by gluon density

# Scaling violations



- Effect of QCD
  - Increase  $F_2$  at low x
  - Decrease  $F_2$  at high x
- Sensitivity to gluon distribution from accurate determination of scaling violations
- Quantitative test of QCD evolution

# High Q<sup>2</sup> cross sections & xF<sub>3</sub>



Difference between e<sup>+</sup>p and e<sup>-</sup>p cross sections gives xF<sub>3</sub>

• xF<sub>3</sub> comes from interference between gamma and Z<sup>0</sup> exchange processes

 $xF_3 \propto \sum x(q - \overline{q})$ q

### Charged current cross sections



• Different for e<sup>+</sup>p and e<sup>-</sup>p

 $\sigma \propto [u + c + (1 - y)^2 (\overline{d} + \overline{s})]$ 

- 
$$e^{-}p$$
 sensitive to  $u(x,Q^2)$ 

 $\sigma \propto [\overline{u} + \overline{c} + (1 - y)^2 (d + s)]$ 

- e<sup>+</sup>p sensitive to d(x,Q<sup>2</sup>)
- e<sup>+</sup>p suppressed by (1-y)<sup>2</sup> helicity factor
- Flavour specific probe of the proton
- e<sup>+</sup>p data particularly valuable since d(x,Q<sup>2</sup>) poorly known

# Electroweak unification at high Q<sup>2</sup>

- Steep fall of NC cross section at low Q<sup>2</sup>
  - 1/Q<sup>4</sup> from photon exchange
- CC cross section suppressed by large mass of the W
  - $1/(Q^2 + M^2_W)^2$
- Difference between e<sup>-</sup>p and e <sup>+</sup>p CC cross sections
  - $u(x,Q^2) > d(x,Q^2)$
  - $e^+p (1-y)^2$  helicity factor
- At high Q<sup>2</sup> (Q<sup>2</sup>~M<sup>2</sup><sub>W</sub>) NC and CC same magnitude



### Polarised DIS cross sections

NC cross section modified by P:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma(e^{\pm}p)}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{xQ^4} \left[ H_0^{\pm} + PH_P^{\pm} \right]$$

$$= \frac{N_R - N_L}{N_R + N_L}$$

Unpolarised contribution

Polarised contribution - only includes Z and  $\gamma Z$  terms

Polarised contribution only significant at high Q<sup>2</sup> - subtle effect at HERA

CC cross section modified by P:

$$\sigma_{CC}^{e^{\pm}p}(P) = (1 \pm P) \cdot \sigma_{CC}^{e^{\pm}p}(0)$$

Polarisation scales P=O cross section linearly - clear and large effect at HERA Pure V-A structure of the SM - no right-handed charged currents

### Charged current cross sections

- First measurements of the polarisation dependence of CC DIS
- Cross section disappears as P=-1(+1) for e<sup>+</sup>(e<sup>-</sup>)p
- Consistent with the chiral structure of the SM
  - Pure V-A interaction
  - No right-handed charged currents



### Neutral current cross sections



Polarisation effect more subtle in NC (only related to  $Z^0$  exchange)  $\rightarrow$  Just about experimentally established

### Future prospects for polarised measurements

Measure left and right handed cross sections for e<sup>+</sup>p and e<sup>-</sup>p Scattering in NC and CC

Search for evidence of right-handed charged currents

Extract couplings of Z<sup>0</sup> to the light quarks with high precision



### Challenging!

Need highest possible luminosity and polarisation

# Using structure function data to determine the proton PDFs

- pQCD only predicts the Q<sup>2</sup> evolution of the PDFs, not the x dependence
- Ideally, find analytic parametrisations of the PDFs which are consistent with Q<sup>2</sup> dependence predicted by QCD

– In practice, this is not possible

• Most common alternative method: perform direct numerical integration of the DGLAP equations at Next-to-leading-order (NLO)

### Determining the proton PDFs

- The basic recipe for extracting PDFs:
  - Assume different analytic shapes for the PDFs (valence, sea & gluon) at some starting scale  $Q^2 = Q_0^2$ 
    - $Q_0^2$  is arbitary, but must be large enough for  $\alpha_s(Q_0^2)$  to be small
  - Use the DGLAP equations to evolve the PDFs up to a different  $Q^2$  value & use to predict structure functions
  - Fit prediction to the data
- The parameters needed are: those needed to specify the analytic shapes of the PDFs,  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$  and  $\alpha_{\rm s}({\rm M_Z}^2)$ 
  - Can use these fits to determine  $\alpha_{\text{s}}$  as well as the PDFs

### Determining the proton PDFs

• A typical choice of PDFs to fit are:

$$u_v, d_v, S, g, \overline{d} - \overline{u}$$

• The usual form for the different PDFs are:

$$xu_{v} = A_{u}x^{\lambda_{u}}(1-x)^{\eta_{u}}P(x,u)$$
  

$$xd_{v} = A_{d}x^{\lambda_{d}}(1-x)^{\eta_{d}}P(x,d)$$
  

$$xS = A_{S}x^{-\lambda_{s}}(1-x)^{\eta_{s}}P(x,S)$$
  

$$xg = A_{g}x^{-\lambda_{g}}(1-x)^{\eta_{g}}P(x,g)$$

P(x,i) are polynomials in x or  $\sqrt{x}$ 

Not all normalisations  $A_i$  are free parameters:  $A_u$ ,  $A_d$  &  $A_g$  are

constrained by different sum rules

### Contributions to the sea quark distribution

- Flavour composition of the sea
  - Heavy quarks require special treatment; assume either
    - Entirely generated by gluon distribution via  $\gamma^*g \rightarrow qq (Q^2 \sim m_{c,b}^2)$
    - Heavy quark distribution only above threshold ( $Q^2 >> m_{c,b}^2$ )
  - Strange quarks suppressed wrt to u & d (larger mass)

$$\overline{s} = \frac{(\overline{u} + \overline{d})}{4}$$

- Historically assume u,d content of sea = symmetric
- No particular reason why this should be true !
- In fact, it appears that  $\overline{d} > \overline{u}$

### Where do different constraints come from ?

- CCFR neutrino data (xF<sub>3</sub>)
  - Valence shapes for all x with  $u_v \& d_v$  contributing equally
  - Most reliable at medium x (worry about nuclear corrections at highest and lowest x)
- NMC data on  $F_2(\mu d)/F_2(\mu p)$ 
  - gives ratio  $d_v/u_v$  at large x
  - Only dataset to do so
- F<sub>2</sub>(ID) & F<sub>2</sub>(Ip) from NMC, BCDMS, E665, SLAC and F<sub>2</sub> from CCFR
  - Singlet combination of quarks ( $x\Sigma = xu_v + xd_v + xS$ )
  - Sea distribution for all  $(x,Q^2)$  covered by experiments

### Where do different constraints come from ?

- F<sub>2</sub> data from same experiments
  - Combinations of u and d valence distributions at high x
  - Contributions weighted by (quark charge)<sup>2</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  u<sub>v</sub> distribution dominates for proton targets
  - Contribute equally for Deuterium targets
  - u<sub>v</sub> better determined than d<sub>v</sub>
- F<sub>2</sub> data also constrain gluon density
- CCFR dimuon data
  - Strange quark distribution
  - Directly or via weak decay of charm quarks
- HERA data: sea quark and gluon distributions

# Results of QCD fits

- Results from different groups MRST & CTEQ "professional" fitters and market leaders
- In these "global" fits other data, aside from structure function data, is also used
- What are these data ?



### Information on PDFs from non-DIS processes

- Constraining quark distributions:
- Drell-Yan dilepton production:  $pN \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$ 
  - Considered sensitive probe of sea quark distribution Dominant subprocess:  $\overline{q}q \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

  - Data from E605 and more recently E772 (moderate to high x)
- Ratio of data pn  $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$  to pp  $\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- X$ 
  - Give information on ratio  $\frac{d}{\overline{u}}$  (NA51 & E866 experiments)
- W<sup>±</sup> production:

$$p\overline{p} \to W^+(W^-)X$$

Dominant subprocesses:

$$u\overline{d} \rightarrow W^+, d\overline{u} \rightarrow W^-$$

### Information on PDFs from non-DIS processes

- The W± charge asymmetry also gives information on d/u
- Constraints on the gluon distribution
  - DIS structure function data only really constrains low-x gluon
  - Use prompt photon or single inclusive jet production to get high-x gluon
- Prompt photon data:  $pN \rightarrow \gamma X$  (0.02 < x < 0.5)
  - Dominant subprocess:  $gg \rightarrow \gamma q$  at leading order
  - Data from WA70, UA6, E706, ISR, UA2 and CDF
- High  $E_T$  jet production from HERA and Tevatron
  - Depend on the gluon via gg, gq and  $^{gq}$  initiatied processes

### $\alpha_{\rm S}$ determination



Jet data constrains  $\alpha_{s}$ :

 $\alpha_{\rm S}({\rm M_7}) = 0.1183 \pm 0.0028 \text{ (exp)} \pm 0.0008 \text{ (model)}$ 

Theoretically limited! Need Next-to-Next-to-Leading-Order QCD

### Future measurements



Valence quark distributions expected to improve in HERA II

### Future measurements





Sea-quark and gluon distributions expected to improve in HERA II

 $\rightarrow$  Relevant for LHC processes

Example: W and Z production may be used for luminosity measurement at the LHC.

LO W and Z production  $q + \overline{q} \rightarrow W / Z$ 

x values given by

 $x_{1,2} = \frac{M}{\sqrt{s}} \exp(\pm y)$ Central rapidity x=0.005

$$|y| < 2.5 \rightarrow 10^{-4} < x < 0.1$$
  
M~100 GeV  $\rightarrow Q^2 \sim 10000$  GeV<sup>2</sup>

#### LHC parton kinematics





Pre HERA I

Post HERA I

do Be/dy

1.6

1.4

1.2

1

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0 6

- Gluon PDF dominates at  $Q^2 = 10000 \text{ GeV}^2$
- W and Z cross sections at the LHC depend crucially on the gluon distribution
- Before HERA large uncertainty in gluon and sea quark PDFs gave ~16% uncertainty
- Post HERA I uncertainty improves to ~3.5%
- More improvement with HERA II
  - Probably good enough for LHC luminosity



W<sup>+</sup> HERA excluded

y



### Pre HERA I



### Post HERA I



Huge improvement in sea-quark and gluon uncertainties from HERA data

Graduate lectures October - November 2007



Example: String theory model (hep-ph/0111298)

### Conclusion

- The ep and ed DIS experiments at SLAC in the late 1960's and the neutrino experiments of the early 1970's laid the foundations of QCD, the parton model of high energy interactions, and the entire language of modern particle physics.
- The high energy muon and neutrino scattering on nucleons and nuclei in the 1970's and 1980's expanded the kinematic range of DIS, and along with Drell-Yan and other hard scattering processes, allowed quantitative global QCD analyses of PDFs of the nucleons.

• The HERA experiments expanded the kinematic range into the small-x and high-Q<sup>2</sup> region by orders of magnitude, and pushed the accuracy to unprecedented levels.