Multi-pion Resonances and the Continuum

Satoshi Nakamura

Osaka University, Japan

Collaborators : H. Kamano (RCNP, Osaka Univ.), T. Sato (Osaka Univ.) T.-S.H. Lee (Argonne Nat'l Lab).

Contents

★ Introduction vN scattering in resonance region

★ Dynamical coupled-channels (DCC) model

Analysis of $\gamma^{(*)}N$, $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$, $\pi \pi N$, ηN , $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$

Extension to $vN \rightarrow l X$ ($X = \pi N, \pi \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$)

★ New results for $vN \rightarrow l X$

Introduction

Neutrino-nucleus scattering for v-oscillation experiments

Next-generation exp. \rightarrow leptonic $\mathcal{O}P$, mass hierarchy

 ν -nucleus scattering needs to be understood more precisely

Neutrino-nucleus scattering for v-oscillation experiments

Next-generation exp. \rightarrow leptonic \mathcal{O} , mass hierarchy



Wide kinematical region with different characteristic

➔ Combination of different expertise is necessary

Neutrino-nucleus scattering for v-oscillation experiments

Next-generation exp. \rightarrow leptonic \mathcal{O} , mass hierarchy



Collaboration at J-PARC Branch of KEK Theory Center

http://j-parc-th.kek.jp/html/English/e-index.html

Resonance region



Multi-channel reaction

- 2π production is comparable to 1π
- η , *K* productions (background of proton decay exp.)

GOAL : Develop *vN*-interaction model in resonance region

Problems in previous models

- (multi-channel) Unitarity is missing
- Important 2 π production model is missing

Our strategy to overcome the problems...

We develop a Unitary coupled-channels model

★ Dynamical coupled-channels (DCC) model for γN , $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$, $\pi \pi N$, ηN , $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$

★ Extension to $vN \rightarrow l X$ ($X = \pi N, \pi \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$) (← THIS WORK)

Dynamical Coupled-Channels model for meson productions

DCC (Dynamical Coupled-Channel) model

Matsuyama et al., Phys. Rep. **439**, 193 (2007) Kamano et al., PRC 88, 035209 (2013)

Coupled-channel Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$T_{ab} = V_{ab} + \sum_{c} V_{ac} G_{c} T_{cb}$$

$$\{a, b, c\} = \gamma^* N, \pi N, \eta N, \pi \pi N (\pi \Delta, \sigma N, \rho N), K\Lambda, K\Sigma AN$$

Coupled-channel unitarity is fully taken into account

DCC (Dynamical Coupled-Channel) model

Matsuyama et al., Phys. Rep. **439**, 193 (2007) Kamano et al., PRC 88, 035209 (2013)

Coupled-channel Lippmann-Schwinger equation



Quality of describing data with DCC model

Kamano, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRC 88 (2013)

Model is extensively tested by $\gamma N, \pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$ data $(W \le 2.1 \text{ GeV}, \simeq 20,000 \text{ data points})$

V

application to ν -scattering

reliable vector current ($Q^2 = 0$)

 $\pi N \rightarrow X$ model combined with PCAC



$$vN \rightarrow l X$$
 ($X = \pi N, \pi \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$)
at forward limit $Q^2=0$

Kamano, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, PRD 86 (2012)



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_\ell d\Omega_\ell} = \frac{G_F}{2\pi^2} E_\ell^2 W_2$$

via PCAC $F_2 \equiv \omega W_2 = \frac{2f_\pi^2}{\pi}\sigma_{\pi N \to X}$

 $d\sigma$

 $\sigma_{\pi N \twoheadrightarrow X}$ is from our DCC model







Extension to full kinematical region $Q^2 \neq 0$

→ Model for vector & axial currents is necessary



necessary for calculating v-interaction

 $Q^2 \neq 0$ (electromagnetic form factors for *VNN*^{*} couplings) obtainable from (*e*,*e*' π), (*e*,*e*' X) data analysis

We've done first analysis of all these reactions \rightarrow *VNN*^{*}(Q^2) fixed \rightarrow neutrino reactions



Axial current

*Q*²=0

non-resonant mechanisms

 $\partial_{\mu}\pi \rightarrow f_{\pi}A_{\mu}^{external}$

resonant mechanisms

Interference among resonances and background can be made under control within DCC model

Caveat for this presentation : phenomenological axial currents are added to maintain PCAC relation $q \cdot A_{AN \to \pi N} \sim i f_{\pi} T_{\pi N \to \pi N}$ to be improved in future



Axial current

 $Q^2 \neq 0$ axial form factors

non-resonant mechanisms
$$\left(\frac{1}{1+Q^2/M_A^2}\right)^2$$
 $M_A=1.02 \text{ GeV}$

resonant mechanisms

$$(1+aQ^2)\exp(-bQ^2)\left(\frac{1}{1+Q^2/M_A^2}\right)^2$$

Sato et al. PRC 67 (2003)

More neutrino data are necessary to fix axial form factors for ANN^*

Neutrino cross sections will be predicted with this axial current for this presentation

Analysis of electron scattering data

Analysis of electron-proton scattering data

Purpose : Determine Q^2 – dependence of vector coupling of p- N^* : $VpN^*(Q^2)$

Data : * 1π electroproduction



Database

- $p(e,e'\pi^0)p$
- *p(e,e'π*+)*n*
- both

Analysis of electron-proton scattering data

Purpose : Determine Q^2 – dependence of vector coupling of p- N^* : $VpN^*(Q^2)$

Data : * 1π electroproduction



Database

- $p(e,e'\pi^0)p$
- *p(e,e'π*+)*n*
- both

Analysis of electron-proton scattering data

Purpose : Determine Q^2 – dependence of vector coupling of p- N^* : $VpN^*(Q^2)$

- Data : * 1π electroproduction
 - * Empirical inclusive inelastic structure functions σ_T , $\sigma_L \leftarrow$ Christy et al, PRC 81 (2010)



Database

- $p(e,e'\pi^0)p$
- *p(e,e'π*+)*n*
- both

region where inclusive $\sigma_T \& \sigma_L$ are fitted

 Q^2 =0.16 (GeV/c)²

ž

-1

8

> <u>م ل</u>م -0.5

¥.

ANGLE

ANGLE

ANGLE

 $\sigma_T + \varepsilon \sigma_L$ for *W*=1.1 - 1.32 GeV

 $p(e,e'\pi^0)p$



ANCI F

0

ANGLE

0 0.5

ANGLE



 Q^2 =0.16 (GeV/c)²

 σ_T & σ_L (inclusive inelastic)



 Q^2 =0.40 (GeV/c)²

 σ_T + $\varepsilon \sigma_L$ for *W*=1.1 – 1.68 GeV



 Q^2 =0.40 (GeV/c)²



 Q^2 =2.95 (GeV/c)²

 $\sigma_T + \varepsilon \sigma_L$ for W=1.11-1.69 GeV

 $p(e,e'\pi^0)p$

 $p(e,e'\pi^+)n$



 Q^2 =2.95 (GeV/c)²



Analysis of electron-'neutron' scattering data

Purpose : Vector coupling of neutron- N^* and its Q^2 -dependence : $VnN^*(Q^2)$ (I=1/2) I=3/2 part has been fixed by proton target data

- Data : * 1π photoproduction (Q^2 =0)
 - * Empirical inclusive inelastic structure functions σ_T , σ_L ($Q^2 \neq 0$)
 - ← Christy and Bosted, PRC 77 (2010), 81 (2010)

 $Q^2 = 0$

$d\sigma / d\Omega$ ($\gamma n \Rightarrow \pi p$) for W=1.1-2.0 GeV





For application to neutrino interactions

Analysis of electron scattering data

→ $VpN^*(Q^2)$ & $VnN^*(Q^2)$ fixed for several Q^2 values

→ Parameterize $VpN^*(Q^2)$ & $VnN^*(Q^2)$ with simple analytic function of Q^2

$$I=3/2 \qquad : \quad VpN^*(Q^2) = VnN^*(Q^2) \qquad \Rightarrow \text{ CC, NC}$$

$$I=1/2 \text{ isovector part} : \quad (VpN^*(Q^2) - VnN^*(Q^2))/2 \qquad \Rightarrow \text{ CC, NC}$$

$$I=1/2 \text{ isoscalar part} : \quad (VpN^*(Q^2) + VnN^*(Q^2))/2 \qquad \Rightarrow \text{ NC}$$

DCC vector currents has been tested by data for whole kinematical region relevant to neutrino interactions of $E_v \le 2$ GeV

Neutrino Results

Caveat repeated

- Axial current used here is not fully consistent with πN interaction of DCC model
- PCAC relation is maintained to some extent
- Results presented here are from first exploratory attempt
- Careful examination needs to be made to obtain a final result

Cross section for $v_{\mu} N \rightarrow \mu X$



- $\pi N \& \pi \pi N$ are main channels in few-GeV region
- ηN , KY cross sections are $10^{-1} 10^{-2}$ smaller

Cross section for $v_{\mu} N \rightarrow \mu X$



- $\pi N \& \pi \pi N$ are main channels in few-GeV region
- ηN , KY cross sections are $10^{-1} 10^{-2}$ smaller

Comparison with $v_{\mu}N \rightarrow \mu \pi N$ data



DCC model prediction is consistent with ANL data

ANL Data : PRD **19**, 2521 (1979) BNL Data : PRD **34**, 2554 (1986)

- DCC model has flexibility to fit data $(ANN^*(Q^2))$
- Data should be analyzed with nuclear effects

Mechanisms for $v_{\mu} N \rightarrow \mu \pi N$



• Δ dominates for $v_{\mu} p \rightarrow \mu \pi^{+} p$ (*I*=3/2) for $E_{\nu} \leq 2 \text{ GeV}$

- Non-resonant mechanisms contribute significantly
- Higher N^* s becomes important towards $E_v \approx 2$ GeV for $v_u n \Rightarrow \mu \pi N$

$d\sigma/dW dQ^2$ (×10⁻³⁸ cm²/ GeV²)

 $E_{v} = 2 \text{ GeV}$



$d\sigma/dW dQ^2$ (×10⁻³⁸ cm²/GeV²)

 $E_v = 2 \text{ GeV}$



Conclusion

Development of DCC model for vN interaction in resonance region

Start with DCC model for γN , $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$, $\pi \pi N$, ηN , $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$

→ extension of vector current to $Q^2 \neq 0$ region, isospin separation through analysis of e^--p & e^--n' data for $W \leq 2$ GeV, $Q^2 \leq 3$ (GeV/c)²

 \rightarrow Development of axial current for vN interaction; more study needed

Conclusion

- $\pi N \& \pi \pi N$ are main channels in few-GeV region
- DCC model prediction is consistent with ANL data
- Δ , N^* s, non-resonant are all important in few-GeV region (for $v_{\mu} n \rightarrow \mu X$)
 - → essential to understand interference pattern among them
 - \rightarrow DCC model can do this; consistency between π interaction and axial current



Formalism

Cross section for $vN \rightarrow lX$ ($X = \pi N, \pi \pi N, \eta N, K\Lambda, K\Sigma$)

$$\theta \to 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{d\sigma}{dE_\ell d\Omega_\ell} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi^2} E_\ell^2 \left(\frac{2W_1 \sin^2 \theta}{2} + W_2 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \pm W_3 \frac{E_\nu + E_\ell}{m_N} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right)$$

$$Q^2 \rightarrow 0 \qquad W_2 = \frac{Q^2}{\vec{q}^2} \sum \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(|\langle J^x \rangle|^2 + |\langle J^y \rangle|^2 \right) + \frac{Q^2}{\vec{q}_c^2} \left| \left\langle J^0 + \frac{\omega_c}{Q^2} q \cdot J \right\rangle \right|^2 \right]$$

CVC & PCAC
$$\langle q \cdot J \rangle = \langle q \cdot V \rangle - \langle q \cdot A \rangle = i f_{\pi} m_{\pi}^2 \langle \hat{\pi} \rangle$$

LSZ & smoothness
$$\langle X|\hat{\pi}|N\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2\omega_c}}{m_\pi^2} \mathcal{T}_{\pi N \to X}(0) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2\omega_c}}{m_\pi^2} \mathcal{T}_{\pi N \to X}(m_\pi^2)$$

Finally
$$F_2 \equiv \omega W_2 = \frac{2f_\pi^2}{\pi} \sigma_{\pi N \to X}$$
 $\sigma_{\pi N \to X}$ is from our DCC model

Results



- Prediction based on model well tested by data (first $vN \rightarrow \pi\pi N$)
- πN dominates for $W \leq 1.5$ GeV
- $\pi\pi N$ becomes comparable to πN for $W \ge 1.5$ GeV
- Smaller contribution from ηN and $KY O(10^{-1}) O(10^{-2})$
- Agreement with SL (no PCAC) in Δ region

Comparison with Rein-Sehgal model



Comparison in whole kinematical region will be done after axial current model is developed

F₂ from RS model



Kamano, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, arXiv:1305.4351



SL model applied to v-nucleus scattering

 1π production

$$\nu_{e} + {}^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow e^{-} + X (E_{\nu} = 1 \text{ GeV}) \qquad e^{-} + {}^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow e^{-} + X (E_{e} = 1.1 \text{ GeV})$$

Szczerbinska et al. (2007)

SL model applied to v-nucleus scattering

coherent π production



Nakamura et al. (2010)

DCC (Dynamical Coupled-Channel) model

Matsuyama et al., Phys. Rep. 439, 193 (2007)

Coupled-channel Lippmann-Schwinger equation



Previous models for v-induced 1π production in resonance region

resonant only

Rein et al. (1981), (1987); Lalalulich et al. (2005), (2006)



+ non-resonant (tree-level)

Hernandez et al. (2007), (2010) ; Lalakulich et al. (2010)







+ rescattering (πN unitarity)

Sato, Lee (2003), (2005)

+



Eta production reactions

$$\pi^- p \to \eta n$$



KY production reactions

 $d\sigma/d\Omega$ (µb/sr)



 $\pi N \to \pi \pi N$

(parameters had been fitted to $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$) Kamano, Julia-Diaz, Lee, Matsuyama, Sato, PRC79 025206 (2009)



DCC analysis of meson production data

Fully combined analysis of γN , $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$, ηN , $K\Lambda$, $K\Sigma$ (W ≤ 2.1 GeV)

~380 parameters (N* mass, N* → MB couplings, cutoffs) to fit ~ 20,000 data points

Partial wave amplitudes of pi N scattering





Kamano, Nakamura, Lee, Sato, arXiv:1305.4351

Vector current (Q²=0) for 1π Production is well-tested by data

$d\sigma/d\Omega$ (µb/sr)

 $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta p$

| 1488 | 1490 | 1493 | 1496 | 1498 | 1501 | 1504 | 1506 |
|--|--|--|--|------------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| - | | | | + + | | + | +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |
| 1500 | 1511 | 1514 | 1516 | 1610 | 1524 | 1527 | 1578 |
| . 1509 | | | | 1213 | 1524 1.000 mmp 10 | 1227 | ╶╉╧╦╫┉┉┉╢┼ |
| | + | + | + | + | + | + | + ' |
| 1529 | 1532 | 1534 | 1537 | 1539 | 1542 | 1544 | 1547 |
| a tabahan dan sa | C | The second se | - Transpiller | The statement of | Carl to be a main to be | La come nelacion | La Dullindulu |
| | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 1549 | 1552 | 1554 | 1557 | 1558 | 1559 | 1562 | 1564 |
| CONTRACTOR - CONTRACTOR | | Contraction of the local sectors of the local secto | C. S. William Product of | ╺┶╌┼╖╖╫╫╖╢╢┤── | | | |
| | <u>t</u> | <u>t</u> | <u>t</u> | <u>†</u> | <u>†</u> | <u>t</u> | <u>t.</u> |
| 1566 | 1569 | 1571 | 1574 | 1576 | 1579 | 1581 | 1583 |
| | | - Lunning | - A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | - Lunnunnun | | بىل ^{ىلىل} ىلىكى مەركىكى مە | سالاستىپى 🗕 |
| | <u>† </u> | <u> </u> | <u>Т.,</u> , | f.,, | f | T | |
| 1586 | 1588 | 1590 | 1593 | 1595 | 1597 | 1600 | 1602 |
| | ╺╋ _{╍┺} ┉┉┉┉┉ | بىياللالىيىسى 🕂 | جياليسي الج | ىيىسى 🕂 | جىسىسى 🕂 | ىيىسى 🕂 | يوسين 🕂 |
| | | $\overline{+}$ | ++++ | ++++ | + | 1-+++ | ++++ |
| 1604 | 1607 | 1609 | 1611 | 1614 | 1616 | 1617 | 1618 |
| | سيريس ل | 1 | ± | 1 | 1 | | ± |
| -+++ | + | +++++ | ++++ | ++++ | | | |
| 1620 | 1623 | 1625 | 1627 | 1629 | 1632 | 1634 | 1636 |
| | | | | ± | ± | İ | T |
| -+++ | | | | | | | |
| 1638 | 1640 | 1643 | 1645 | 1646 | 1647 | 1649 | 1651 |
| | . <u> </u> | | Ŧ | T | Ŧ | Ŧ | Ŧ |
| | ++++ | ++++ | | | | | |
| . 1653 | 1000 | 1028 | 1660 | 1062 | 1004 | 1067 | 1071 |
| | | | _ | .+ | | .+ | + |
| 1674 | 1675 | 1679 | 1684 | 1699 | 1692 | 1696 | 1200 |
| - | 1 | 1 | 1004 | 1.000 | 1 | 1.000 | 1 |
| | .+ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 1702 | 1704 | 1707 | 1711 | 1715 | 1719 | 1723 | 1726 |
| _ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | يى مەرەر يىلى ك | | + | - <u></u> | + | |
| 1730 | 1734 | 1737 | 1741 | 1745 | 1748 | 1752 | 1755 |
| - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | turner | t | the second second | 1 | t | 1 | turn |
| 1756 | 1759 | 1762 | 1765 | 1769 | 1772 | 1775 | 1779 |
| - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| | -Jorgannya- | -Jacquing | | | <u>↓</u> | -l-mura | ╶┼ ┙┯┉┯╼┑ |
| 1782 | 1783 | 1785 | 1788 | 1792 | 1797 | 1801 | 1806 |
| _ | t | t | t | Ť | t | t | t |
| - The second sec | | | | | | | |
| 1809 | 1810 | 1815 | 1822 | 1830 | 1835 | 1840 | 1850 |
| - | Ţ | Ŧ | Ŧ | Ŧ | Ť | Ŧ | Ť |
| | | ╺┼╌╌╌╴ | <u>→ ŢŢŢŢŢŢŢ</u> ŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢŢ | | | | ╧ |
| 1860 | 1861 | 1870 | 1885 | 1910 | 1934 | 1959 | 1982 |
| - ·I_ | Ţ_ | Ţ_ | T_ | T_ | T_ | T_ | T_ |
| | and the second s | and the second second | C Directory | 1 Summer | Summer Street | 1 Tomber | 1 Streets |

Vector current (Q²=0) for η Production is well-tested by data



 $\gamma N \to \pi \pi N$

(parameters had been fitted to $\pi N, \gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$) Kamano, Julia-Diaz, Lee, Matsuyama, Sato, PRC80 065203 (2009)

