Measurement of  $v_{\mu}$  CC coherent pion production cross section in the T2K on-axis neutrino beam

T. Kikawa (Kyoto University) for the T2K collaboration NuInt14 @Surrey May 23, 2014



#### Overview

- The T2K experiment
- Introduction to CC coherent pion
- Event selection
- Analysis strategy
- Systematic errors
- Results



## The T2K experiment

- High intensity neutrino beam from J-PARC.
- Super-Kamiokande, located 295km from neutrino generation point.
- ND280 (off-axis) and INGRID (on-axis) located 280m from neutrino generation point.
- Precise measurement of neutrino oscillations.
- <u>Precise measurement of neutrino</u> <u>nucleus interactions at  $E_v \sim 1$ GeV.</u>



T2K Near detectors

**Off-axis**)

NGRD

(On-axis)

**ND280** 

## **INGRID** (on-axis near detector)

- 16 standard modules.
  - Sandwich structure of iron and scintillators.
  - Main purpose is beam monitoring.
- 1 extra module, (Proton Module).
  - Full scintillator module.
  - Developed for the cross section study.



 In this study, Proton Module is used as target, and standard module is used to identify muons from neutrino interactions.



#### Coherent pion production in high energy region

5

- NEUT and GENIE are used to generate neutrino interactions.
- Both use Rein-Sehgal model for coherent pion production.
  - GENIE takes into account the lepton mass terms, while NEUT doesn't.
  - Different  $\pi N$  cross section is used.
- Coherent pion production signal was observed at high energy region (3~300GeV) via both CC and NC as expected by the Rein-Sehgal model.



#### Coherent pion production in a few GeV region

- NEUT and GENIE predictions in a few GeV region differ by a factor of two.
- K2K and SciBooNE reported null observations of charged current coherent pion production in a few GeV region.
- MiniBooNE and SciBooNE observed the neutral current coherent pion production at similar neutrino energy.



## Motivation of this study

- We investigate the CC coherent pion at a few GeV region using T2K on-axis neutrino beam and INGRID.
- In this study, NEUT was used to estimate background and efficiency.
- GENIE was used for the comparison of cross section results in addition to NEUT.



## Number of tracks & particle identification

- Select two-track sample.
   (A muon and a pion.)
- Muon confidence level (MuCL) is defined with control muon sample for PID.
- Longer track : muon-like
- Shorter track : pion-like





#### Muon angle & vertex activity

- Select events with muons scattered very forward.
  - Momentum transfer of coherent pion production is small.
- Select events without large activity around vertex.
  - Reject events with low energy protons  $(\nu_{\mu}p \rightarrow \mu^{-}\pi^{+}p)$ .





## Analysis strategy

 Flux averaged CC coherent pion cross section is calculated with background subtraction and efficiency correction.

$$\sigma_{CCcoh} = \frac{N_{sel} - N_{BG}}{\Phi T \varepsilon_{CCcoh}}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} N_{sel} &: \text{Number of selected events (data)} \\ N_{BG} &: \text{Number of selected BG events (MC)} \\ \Phi &: \text{Integrated } \nu_{\mu} \text{ flux (MC)} \\ T &: \text{Number of target nuclei} \\ \varepsilon_{CCcoh} &: \text{Detection efficiency of CC coherent} \\ & \text{pion events (MC)} \end{array}$ 

MC expected background ratio to all selected events

	Fraction
CCQE	6.50%
CC resonant pion	24.36%
CC other	9.00%
NC events	1.16%
$ar{ u}_\mu$ events	5.22%
$v_e$ events	0.06%
External	0.39%

- Purity of CC coherent pion events is 53.3%.
- Efficiency of CC coherent pion events is 16.0%.
- CC resonant pion events are dominant background events.

## Systematic error from neutrino flux

- Source of the flux uncertainty:
  - Hadron interaction uncertainties.
  - T2K beamline uncertainties (proton beam position and intensity, beam direction, horn current, alignment).
- Total neutrino flux uncertainty is  $\sim 10\%$ .
- Hadron interaction uncertainty is dominant error source.
- Systematic error is evaluated by toy MC generated from the covariance matrix.







# Systematic error from neutrino interaction

Model parameters

- Fit the external data with free model parameters in NEUT.
- Introduce ad hoc parameters to take into account remaining differences between data and NEUT.
- Estimate values and errors of the model and ad hoc parameters.
- Introduce, additional FSI uncertainties.

Ad hoc parameters

 $CC1\pi^+$  shape

#### Neutrino interaction parameters **Parameter** Value Error $M_A^{QE}$ 1.21GeV 16.53% $M_A^{RES}$ 1.21GeV 16.53% $\pi$ -less $\Delta$ decay 0.2 20% Spectral function 0 100% Fermi momentum (CH) 217MeV/c 13.83% Binding energy (CH) 25MeV 36% CCQE norm. ( $E_{\nu} < 1.5 \text{GeV}$ ) 1 11% CCQE norm. $(1.5 < E_{\nu} < 3.5 \text{GeV})$ 1 30% CCQE norm. ( $E_{\nu} > 3.5 \text{GeV}$ ) 1 30% CC1 $\pi$ norm. ( $E_{\nu}$ < 2.5GeV) 21% 1 CC1 $\pi$ norm. ( $E_{\nu} > 2.5$ GeV) 21% 1 CC other shape 0 40% NC1 $\pi^0$ norm. 1 31% 30% NC coherent $\pi$ norm. 1 NC1 $\pi^{\pm}$ norm. 1 30% NC other norm 30% 1 W shape 8.77MeV 52%

0

50%

### Systematic errors

- Detector error:
  - Error sources: target mass, dark count, hit detection efficiency, light yield, event pileup.
  - Additional data-MC discrepancy in each event selection step is included as the detector error.
- Systematic errors from neutrino flux and CC resonant pion production uncertainties are large.

Source	Error	
Neutrino flux	-35.68% + 43.20%	→ Error from CC resonant pion uncertainties is - 32.76% + 31.47%
Neutrino interaction + FSI	-46.03% + 43.17%	
Detector	$\pm 30.91\%$	
Total	-65.93% + 68.45%	

## CC coherent pion cross section result

- CC coherent pion cross section at mean energy of 1.5GeV is  $\sigma_{CCcoh} = (1.03 \pm 0.25(stat.)^{+0.70}_{-0.68}(syst.)) \times 10^{-39} \text{ cm}^2/\text{nucleus}$
- 90% C.L upper limit is  $\sigma_{CCcoh} < 1.98 \times 10^{-39}~{\rm cm^2/nucleus}$
- It agrees well with the prediction by GENIE, but is significantly smaller than prediction by NEUT.
- It is compatible with CC coherent pion results from K2K and SciBooNE.
- Significance of the signal excess corresponds to 1.5σ. (SciBooNE and K2K results are consistent with null CC coherent pion.)



# Track angle of CC coherent pion candidates

- In the angular distributions of the selected sample, data agree with MC in small angle
   regions, but disagree with MC in large angle regions.
- Similar tendency is seen in the SciBooNE result.



15

#### Summary

- Flux averaged CC coherent pion cross section on C at mean energy of 1.51GeV was measured using T2K on-axis neutrino detector, INGRID.
- Cross section result agrees well with the prediction by GENIE, but is significantly smaller than prediction by NEUT.
- Significance of the signal excess is  $1.5\sigma$ .
- In the angular distributions of the selected sample, data agree with MC in small angle regions, but disagree with MC in large angle regions.
- CC coherent pion cross section measurement using T2K offaxis neutrino detector, ND280 is ongoing.



### **Particle identification**

- Muon confidence level (MuCL) is defined with muons from surrounding material.
  - The dE/dx distribution of muons obtained by muons from the walls.
  - The cumulative distribution function of the muon dE/dx distribution corresponds to the confidence level.
  - Combine the confidence levels obtained from all the planes.

