1

## Watson's theorem, Goldberger–Treiman relation and the $\pi N\Delta$ axial $C_5^A(0)$ coupling constant

- L. Alvarez-Ruso, E. Hernández, M.J. Vicente-Vacas and JN
- PRD76 (2007) 033005, PLB647 (2007) 452: Chiral symmetry background terms and  $C_5^A(0)$  fitted to ANL
- PRD81 (2010) 085046: Deuteron effects and C<sup>A</sup><sub>5</sub>(0) fitted to ANL & BNL, and work in progress...

## Motivation :

- 1. Explore some aspects of hadron dynamics/structure, no accessible with electrons/photons, by using a **weak probe**
- 2. First step to study **neutrino–nucleus inclusive scattering** above the QE peak
- J. Nieves, IFIC, CSIC & University of Valencia





Theoretical knowledge of the one pion cross section is important to carry out a precise data analysis... Furthermore...

Pion production  $\rightarrow$  identify <u>incorrectly</u> one-Čerenkov-ring events, which are assumed to be **CC** QE  $\nu_{\alpha}A \rightarrow l_{\alpha}A'$ .

• Appearance probability  $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e})$ : CC QE  $\nu_{e}A \rightarrow eA'$ signal, which is used to identify  $\nu_{e}$ , could be confused with that from  $1\pi$  NC  $\nu_{\mu}A \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}A\pi^{0}$  process • Survival probability  $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu})$ : CC QE  $\nu_{\mu}A \rightarrow \mu A'$  signal, which is used to identify  $\nu_{\mu}$ , could be confused with that from CC/NC  $1\pi \nu_{\tau,\mu}A \rightarrow (\nu_{\tau,\mu} \circ \tau, \mu)A'\pi$  signal, if only one particle radiates Čerenkov light.

For instance,  $(\nu_{\mu}, \mu \pi)$  **Incorrect**  $E_{\nu}$  re-construction  $\rightarrow L/E$  analysis?

<u>Theoretical Model</u>  $\nu_l N \to l N' \pi$ ,  $\nu_l N \to \nu_l N' \pi$  (C.H. Llewellyn Smith, 1972): weak excitation of the  $\Delta(1232)$  resonance and its subsequent decay into  $N\pi$ ,



$$\langle \Delta^+; p_\Delta = p + q | j^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | n; p \rangle = \bar{u}_{\alpha}(\vec{p}_{\Delta}) \Gamma^{\alpha \mu}(p,q) u(\vec{p}) \cos \theta_C,$$

$$\begin{split} \Gamma^{\alpha\mu} &= \left[ \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}}^{\mathbf{A}}}{M} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{4}}^{\mathbf{A}}}{M^{2}} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p_{\Delta} - q^{\alpha} p_{\Delta}^{\mu} \right) + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{5}}^{\mathbf{A}} g^{\alpha\mu} + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}^{\mathbf{A}}}{M^{2}} q^{\mu} q^{\alpha} \right] \\ &+ \left[ \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}}^{\mathbf{V}}}{M} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} \not{q} - q^{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{4}}^{\mathbf{V}}}{M^{2}} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p_{\Delta} - q^{\alpha} p_{\Delta}^{\mu} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{5}}^{\mathbf{V}}}{M^{2}} \left( g^{\alpha\mu} q \cdot p - q^{\alpha} p^{\mu} \right) \right. \\ &+ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}}^{\mathbf{V}} g^{\mu\alpha} \right] \gamma_{5}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{5},\mathbf{6}}^{\mathbf{A}} \text{ axial } \mathbf{FF's}, \quad \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{5},\mathbf{6}}^{\mathbf{V}} \text{ vector } \mathbf{FF's}, \text{ furthermore} \\ &\qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\pi N\Delta} = \frac{f^{*}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi}_{\mu} \vec{T}^{\dagger} (\partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) \Psi + \text{h.c.}, \quad f^{*} = 2.14 \\ \mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{p}_{\Delta}) = \frac{\not{p}_{\Delta} + M_{\Delta}}{p_{\Delta}^{2} - M_{\Delta}^{2} + i M_{\Delta} \Gamma_{\Delta}} \left[ -\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\Delta}^{\mu} \mathbf{p}_{\Delta}^{\nu}}{\mathbf{M}_{\Delta}^{2}} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\Delta}^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} - \mathbf{p}_{\Delta}^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}}{\mathbf{M}_{\Delta}} \right] \end{split}$$

 $eN \to e'\Delta \to e'N'\pi \Rightarrow C_{3,4,5,6}^V$  **FF's.** <u>**CVC**</u>  $\Rightarrow C_6^V = 0$  and ( $M_V = 0.84 \text{ GeV}$ )

$$\frac{\mathbf{C_3^V(q^2)}}{2.13} = \frac{\mathbf{C_4^V(q^2)}}{-1.51} = \frac{1 - \frac{q^2}{0.776M_V^2}}{1 - \frac{q^2}{4M_V^2}} \frac{\mathbf{C_5^V(q^2)}}{0.48} = \frac{1}{(1 - q^2/M_V^2)^2} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q^2}{4M_V^2}}$$

 $C^{A}_{3,4,5,6}$  Axial FF's :  $\Delta^{++}$  ( $\nu_{\mu}p \rightarrow \mu^{-}p\pi^{+}$ ) data taken in the ANL and BNL bubble chambers (filled in with deuterium)

**Dominant form factor**:  $C_5^A(q^2)$ .  $C_3^A(q^2)$  and  $C_4^A(q^2)$  contributions are small and we have taken as (Adler's model 1968)

$$C_4^A(q^2) = -\frac{C_5^A(q^2)}{4}, \ C_3^A(q^2) = 0$$

PCAC ( $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} \propto m_{\pi}^2$ ) and Goldberger–Treiman

$$C_5^A(0) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \frac{f_\pi}{m_\pi}} f^* = 1.2$$

$$\mathbf{C_5^A(q^2)} = \frac{\mathbf{1.2}}{(1 - q^2/\mathbf{M_{A\Delta}^2})^2} \times \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q^2}{3\mathbf{M_{A\Delta}^2}}}, \quad \underbrace{\mathbf{C_6^A(q^2)} = \mathbf{C_5^A(q^2)} \frac{M^2}{m_{\pi}^2 - q^2}}_{\text{PCAC}}$$

 $M_{A\Delta}$  fitted to the  $q^2$  dependence of the  $\nu_{\mu}p \rightarrow \mu^- p\pi^+$  cross section (neutrino energy averaged) with  $(M(\pi N) < 1.4 \text{ GeV})$  measured at ANL and BNL. It varies in the range 0.95 GeV (ANL) – 1.28 GeV (BNL).

E. Paschos, J-Y. Yu and M. Sakuda (PRD69, 014013 (2004)),

 $M_{A\Delta} \sim 1.05~GeV$ 



... but only the  $\Delta$  pole contribution turns out to be an <u>insufficient</u> model, even at the  $\Delta$  peak, and specially close to pion threshold. Close to pion threshold, the pion from the  $(\nu_{\mu}, \mu \pi)$  reaction will not radiate Čerenkov light and thus it would be necessary an improved theoretical model to carry out a proper L/E oscillation analysis.

Such model for the  $\nu_l N \rightarrow lN'\pi$ ,  $\nu_l N \rightarrow \nu_l N'\pi$  should include <u>non resonant terms</u>  $\Rightarrow$  Realization of the axial and vector currents, which couple to the  $W, Z^0$ bosons, for a system of pions and nucleons. Non-linear  $\sigma$ -Model: EFT involving pions and nucleons which implements spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking.

If 
$$\Psi_{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{u} \\ \Psi_{d} \end{pmatrix}$$
, the CC and NC, which induce  $W(Z^{0})N \to N'\pi$   
 $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{l}$ 
 $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{l}$ 
 $\mathbf{j}_{cc\pm}^{\mu} = \cos\theta_{C}\bar{\Psi}_{q}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})\left(-\frac{\overline{\tau_{\pm 1}}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\Psi_{q}$ 
 $\mathbf{j}_{nc}^{\mu} = \bar{\Psi}_{q}\gamma^{\mu}(1-2\sin^{2}\theta_{W}-\gamma_{5})\overline{\tau_{0}^{1}}\Psi_{q}$ 
 $-\frac{4\sin^{2}\theta_{W}\mathbf{s}_{em,IS}^{\mu}-\overline{\Psi}_{s}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})\Psi_{s}}{N}$ 
 $\mathbf{v}$ 
 $\mathbf{v}$ 

 $\langle N'\pi | \mathbf{j}_{cc+}^{\mu}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{j}_{cc-}^{\mu}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{j}_{nc}^{\mu}(\mathbf{0}) | N \rangle = ? \Leftarrow \mathbf{QCD} \text{ and its pattern of } \mathbf{S}\chi \mathbf{SB}$ 

If 
$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $U = \frac{f_{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\vec{\tau} \cdot \left| \vec{\phi} \right| / f_{\pi}} = \frac{f_{\pi}}{\sqrt{2}} \xi^2$ , with  $f_{\pi} \sim 93$  MeV,  
 $\mathcal{L}_{N\pi} = \bar{\Psi} i \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \partial_{\mu} + \mathcal{V}_{\mu} \right] \Psi - M \bar{\Psi} \Psi + g_A \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \Psi$   
 $+ \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} U \right] \left[ + m_{\pi}^2 \frac{f_{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \mathrm{Tr} (U + U^{\dagger} - \sqrt{2} f_{\pi}) \right]$   
 $\mathcal{V}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger} + \xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi \right) \qquad \mathcal{A}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger} - \xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi \right)$   
Isospin rotat.  $\xi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{V}} \xi \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{V}}^{\dagger}, \ \Psi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{V}} \Psi, \quad T_{V} = e^{-i \frac{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\theta}_{V}}{2}}$   
Axial rotat.  $\xi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger} \xi \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}} \xi \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\dagger}, \ \Psi \to \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{A}} \Psi, \quad T_{\Lambda,A} = e^{-i \frac{\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\theta}_{\Lambda,A}}{2}}$   
Isospin rotat.  $\Rightarrow \delta \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{N}\pi} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{Axial rotat.} \Rightarrow \delta \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{N}\pi} \boxed{\propto m_{\pi}^2 \neq 0}$ 

Up to order 
$$\mathcal{O}(1/f_{\pi}^{4})$$
,  $\mathcal{L}_{N\pi}$  reads,  

$$\mathcal{L}_{N\pi} = \bar{\Psi}[\mathrm{i}\partial - M]\Psi + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}m_{\pi}^{2}\vec{\phi}^{2} \quad (\mathrm{kinetic}) + \frac{\mathbf{g}_{A}}{\mathbf{f}_{\pi}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}(\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi})\Psi - \frac{1}{4\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{2}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\mu}\vec{\tau}\left(\vec{\phi}\times\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi}\right)\Psi - \frac{\mathbf{g}_{A}}{6\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{3}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\left[\vec{\phi}^{2}\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi} - (\vec{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi})\frac{\vec{\tau}}{2}\vec{\phi}\right]\Psi - \frac{1}{6\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{2}}(\vec{\phi}^{2}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi} - (\vec{\phi}\partial_{\mu}\vec{\phi})(\vec{\phi}\partial^{\mu}\vec{\phi})) + \frac{m_{\pi}^{2}}{24\mathbf{f}_{\pi}^{2}}(\vec{\phi}^{2})^{2} + \mathcal{O}(1/f_{\pi}^{4})$$
Contact interactions  $NN\pi$ ,  $\underbrace{NN\pi\pi}_{\mathrm{WT}}$ ,  $NN\pi\pi\pi$  and  $\pi\pi\pi\pi$ .  
Parameters:  $f_{\pi}$  and  $g_{A}$ . Noether's currents

$$j^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{N\pi}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \varphi_a)} \delta \varphi_a, \quad a = 1, 2, \cdots$$

up to order  $\mathcal{O}(1/f_{\pi}^3)$  ...

$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}), \quad \partial_{\mu} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} = \mathbf{0} \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}), \quad \underbrace{\partial_{\mu} \vec{A}^{\mu} \propto m_{\pi}^{2} \dots}_{\text{PCAC}} \end{split}$$

+ isospin relations  $\Rightarrow$  evaluate CC  $\langle N'\pi | j^{\mu}_{cc+}(0), j^{\mu}_{cc-}(0) | N \rangle$   $\langle p\pi^{0} | j^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | n \rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | \mathbf{p} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{n}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | \mathbf{n} \rangle \right]$   $\langle p\pi^{-} | j^{\mu}_{cc-}(0) | n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{n}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | \mathbf{n} \rangle$   $\langle n\pi^{-} | j^{\mu}_{cc-}(0) | n \rangle = \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | \mathbf{p} \rangle$  $\langle n\pi^{0} | j^{\mu}_{cc-}(0) | p \rangle = -\langle p\pi^{0} | j^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | n \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | \mathbf{p} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{n}\pi^{+} | \mathbf{j}^{\mu}_{cc+}(0) | \mathbf{n} \rangle \right]$ 

$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_A}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^2 - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^2}{3f_{\pi}^2} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^3}) \\ \vec{A}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_A \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^2 \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_A}{4f_{\pi}^2} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^2 - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^3}) \end{split}$$



 $\mathbf{14}$ 

$$\begin{split} \vec{V}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= \mathbf{f}_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \end{split}$$



15

$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{A}}}{2\mathbf{f}_{\pi}} \bar{\mathbf{\Psi}} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \mathbf{\Psi} + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2\mathbf{f}_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \end{split}$$







... improve the *WNN* transition vertex

$$\langle p; \vec{p}' = \vec{p} + \vec{q} | \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{cc}+}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{0}) | n; \vec{p} \rangle = \cos \theta_C \, \bar{u}(\vec{p}') (\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q})) u(\vec{p})$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) = 2 \times \left( \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{q}^{2})\gamma^{\alpha} + \mathrm{i}\mu_{\mathbf{V}}\frac{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{q}^{2})}{2M}\sigma^{\alpha\nu}q_{\nu} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) = \underbrace{\frac{g_A}{(1-q^2/M_A^2)^2}}_{\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{q}^2)} \times \left(\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_5 + \underbrace{\frac{\not{q}}{m_{\pi}^2 - q^2}q^{\alpha}\gamma_5}_{\text{PCAC}}\right), \begin{cases} g_A = 1.26\\ M_A = 1.05 \text{ GeV} \end{cases}$$

 $\mathbf{F_1^V(q^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{F_1^p(q^2)} - \mathbf{F_1^n(q^2)} \right), \qquad \mu_{\mathbf{V}} \mathbf{F_2^V(q^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mu_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{F_2^p(q^2)} - \mu_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{F_2^n(q^2)} \right),$ furthermore  $\mathbf{CVC} \Rightarrow F_{PF}(q^2) = F_{CT}^V(q^2) = 2F_1^V(q^2) = F_1^p - F_1^n$ 

$$\begin{split} \vec{\mathbf{V}}^{\mu} &= \vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi - \frac{1}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi \\ &- \frac{\vec{\phi}^{2}}{3f_{\pi}^{2}} (\vec{\phi} \times \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \\ \vec{\mathbf{A}}^{\mu} &= f_{\pi} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} + \frac{1}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} (\vec{\phi} \times \vec{\tau}) \Psi + g_{A} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\vec{\tau}}{2} \Psi + \frac{2}{3f_{\pi}} \left[ \vec{\phi} (\vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi}) - \vec{\phi}^{2} \partial^{\mu} \vec{\phi} \right] \\ &- \frac{g_{A}}{4f_{\pi}^{2}} \bar{\Psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \left[ \vec{\tau} \vec{\phi}^{2} - \vec{\phi} (\vec{\tau} \cdot \vec{\phi}) \right] \Psi + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{f_{\pi}^{3}}) \end{split}$$

 $u_l N \rightarrow l N' \pi \pi, \, \nu_l N \rightarrow \nu_l N' \pi \pi \text{ close to threshold. } N^*(1440)$ degrees of freedom (PRD77 (2008) 053009)













J. Nieves, IFIC, CSIC & University of Valencia

Evaluation of NC  $\langle N'\pi | j_{\rm nc}^{\mu}(0) | N \rangle$ :  $j_{\rm nc}^{\mu} = \bar{\Psi}_q \gamma^{\mu} (1 - 2\sin^2\theta_W - \gamma_5) \overline{\tau_0^1} \Psi_q - 4\sin^2\theta_W \mathbf{s}_{\rm em,IS}^{\mu} - \overline{\Psi}_s \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) \Psi_s$   $s_{\rm em}^{\mu} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{6} \bar{\Psi}_q \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_q - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\Psi}_s \gamma^{\mu} \Psi_s}_{\mathbf{s}_{\rm em,IS}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Psi}_q \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\tau_0^1}{\sqrt{2}} \Psi_q}_{\mathbf{s}_{\rm em,IS}}$ 

- ME's  $j_{cc+}^{\mu} \Rightarrow$  ME's isovector  $(\tau_0^1) j_{nc}^{\mu}$  contribution
- $\Delta$  does not contribute to the isoscalar  $j^{\mu}_{nc}$  part

$$\langle n\pi^{+} | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} | p \rangle = \langle p\pi^{-} | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} | n \rangle = \sqrt{2} \langle \mathbf{p}\pi^{\mathbf{0}} | \mathbf{s}^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},\mathbf{IS}} | \mathbf{p} \rangle = -\sqrt{2} \langle n\pi^{\mathbf{0}} | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} | n \rangle$$

$$\langle p\pi^0 | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em},IS} | p \rangle = -\frac{\langle n\pi^0 | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em}}(0) | n \rangle - \langle p\pi^0 | s^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em}}(0) | p \rangle}{2}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{\text{em}}^{\mu} = \underbrace{\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\left(\frac{1+\tau_{z}}{2}\right)\Psi}_{\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N},\mathbf{P}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{C}} + \underbrace{\frac{\mathrm{i}g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}}\bar{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\left(\tau_{-1}^{1}\phi^{\dagger}+\tau_{+1}^{1}\phi\right)\Psi}_{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{T}} + i\underbrace{\left(\phi^{\dagger}\partial^{\mu}\phi-\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi^{\dagger}\right)}_{PF} + \cdots$$

**CT**, **PF** do not contribute  $\Rightarrow$  **PN** and **PNC**  $\Rightarrow$  **ME's** of  $s_{em,IS}^{\mu}$ 

• ME's  $\mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{nc,str}}^{\mu} = \bar{\mathbf{\Psi}}_{\mathbf{s}} \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{\mathbf{5}}) \Psi_{\mathbf{s}} : \underline{nucleon \ strange \ content}$ 

$$\langle p\pi^{0} | \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{nc,str}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{0}) | p \rangle = -\mathrm{i} \frac{g_{A}}{2f_{\pi}} \bar{u}(\vec{p}\,') \left\{ \not k_{\pi} \gamma_{5} \frac{\not p + \not q + M}{(p+q)^{2} - M^{2} + i\epsilon} \left[ \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{s}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{s}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \left[ \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{s}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) - \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{s}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) \right] \frac{\not p' - \not q + M}{(p'-q)^{2} - M^{2} + i\epsilon} \not k_{\pi} \gamma_{5} \right\} u(\vec{p}\,) \quad \Leftarrow \mathbf{PN} + \mathbf{PNC}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{s}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) = \underbrace{F_{1}^{s}(q^{2})}_{\approx 0} \gamma^{\mu} + \mathrm{i}\mu_{s} \underbrace{\frac{\widetilde{F_{2}^{s}(q^{2})}}{2M}}_{\otimes 0} \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}, \quad \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{s}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{q}) = \underbrace{\widetilde{G}_{A}^{s}(q^{2})}_{G_{A}^{s}(q^{2})} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} + \underbrace{\widetilde{G}_{P}^{s}}_{\mathrm{don't \, contr.}} q^{\mu} \gamma_{5}$$

### <u>Results</u> :





$$\begin{split} (W_{\mathrm{CC}\pi}^{\mu\sigma})^{(\nu)} &= \frac{1}{4M} \sum_{\mathrm{spins}} \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E'_N} \delta^4(p' + k_\pi - q - p) \langle \mathbf{N}' \pi | \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\mu}(0) | \mathbf{N} \rangle \langle \mathbf{N}' \pi | \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\sigma}(0) | \mathbf{N} \rangle^* \\ \mathbf{L}_{\mu\sigma}^{(\nu)} &= (\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{s}}^{(\nu)})_{\mu\sigma} + \mathrm{i}(\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{a}}^{(\nu)})_{\mu\sigma} = k'_{\mu}k_{\sigma} + k'_{\sigma}k_{\mu} - g_{\mu\sigma}k \cdot k' + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_{\mu\sigma\alpha\beta}k'^{\alpha}k^{\beta} \\ \Rightarrow \mathrm{CC}: \bar{\nu}_{l}(k) + N(p) \rightarrow l^{+}(k') + N(p') + \pi(k_{\pi}) \\ \mathbf{L}_{\mu\sigma}^{(\bar{\nu})} &= \mathbf{L}_{\sigma\mu}^{(\nu)}, \quad \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\sigma} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}-}^{\sigma} \\ \Rightarrow \mathrm{NC}: \nu(k) + N(p) \rightarrow \nu(k') + N(p') + \pi(k_{\pi}) \\ \mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{cc}+}^{\sigma} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{j}_{\mathrm{nc}}^{\sigma}, \quad (\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{NC}\pi}^{\mu\sigma})^{(\nu)} = (\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{NC}\pi}^{\mu\sigma})^{(\bar{\nu})} \\ \mathrm{Note} \quad \underbrace{(E', \theta')}_{\mathrm{outgoing lepton}} \leftrightarrow q^2, \underbrace{W^2 = (p+q)^2}_{\pi\mathrm{N inv. mass}} \end{split}$$



Fit to ANL :  $C_5^A(0) = 0.867 \pm 0.075$ ,  $M_{A\Delta} = 0.985 \pm 0.082 \,\text{GeV}$ 



How to reconcile ANL & BNL data and still have  $C_5^A(0) \sim 1.2$ K.M. Graczyk et al. [Phys. Rev. D 80, 093001 (2009)]

- ANL and BNL data were measured in deuterium
  - Deuteron effects were estimated by L. Alvarez-Ruso et al [Phys. Rev. C 59, 3386 (1999)] to reduce the cross section by 5-10%.
- Large uncertainties in the neutrino flux normalization, 10% for BNL data and 20% for ANL data.

K.M. Graczyk et al. made a combined fit to both ANL&BNL data, assuming that only the  $\Delta$  mechanism contributed, including deuteron effects, and treating flux uncertainties as systematic errors. They found

 $C_5^A(0) = 1.19 \pm 0.08, \qquad M_{A\Delta} = 0.94 \pm 0.03 \,\text{GeV}$ 

for a pure dipole parameterization for  $C_5^A(q^2)$ . Good agreement with the off-diagonal GTR is found! No background terms included !

#### Background terms included

PRD 81 085046 (2010): We included background terms in a combined fit to ANL & BNL data that took into account <u>deuteron effects</u> and flux normalization uncertainties.

We used a simpler dipole parameterization for  $C_5^A(q^2)$ 

$$C_5^A(q^2) = \frac{C_5^A(0)}{\left(1 - \frac{q^2}{M_{A\Delta}^2}\right)^2}$$

Using Adler's constraints we obtained

 $C_5^A(0) = 1.00 \pm 0.11, \qquad M_{A\Delta} = 0.93 \pm 0.07 \,\text{GeV}$ 

 $C_5^A(0)$  compatible with its GTR value (~ 1.2) at the  $2\sigma$  level.

#### Comparison with ANL & BNL data



68% confidence level bands are shown. The total experimental errors shown contain flux uncertainties that are considered as systematic errors and have been added in quadratures to the statistical ones. Watson's final-state-interaction theorem (unitarity and timereversal invariance): The phase of an amplitude leading to a final state with two strongly interacting particles in a given partial wave is the same as the scattering phase of that pair,  $\delta$ . [PRD 88 (1952) 1163 ]



J. Nieves, IFIC, CSIC & University of Valencia

## **Optical theorem in partial waves**

$$SS^{\dagger} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad i\left(T - T^{\dagger}\right) = T^{\dagger}T$$
$$a + b \quad \rightarrow \quad 1 + 2$$
$$i\left[\langle\lambda_1\lambda_2|T_J|\lambda_a\lambda_b\rangle - \langle\lambda_a\lambda_b|T_J|\lambda_1\lambda_2\rangle^*\right] \quad \sim \quad \sum_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'} \langle\lambda_1\lambda_2|T_J^{\dagger}|\lambda_1'\lambda_2'\rangle\langle\lambda_1'\lambda_2'|T_J|\lambda_a\lambda_b\rangle$$

**Optical theorem in partial waves** 

$$SS^{\dagger} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad i\left(T - T^{\dagger}\right) = T^{\dagger}T$$

$$a + b \quad \to \quad 1 + 2$$

$$i[\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 | T_J | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle - \langle \lambda_a \lambda_b | T_J | \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle^*] \quad \sim \quad \sum_{\lambda_1' \lambda_2'} \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 | T_J^{\dagger} | \lambda_1' \lambda_2' \rangle \langle \lambda_1' \lambda_2' | T_J | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle$$

Using CM helicity states  $|p; JM\lambda_1\lambda_2\rangle$  and <u>invariance</u> <u>under</u> <u>time</u> <u>reversal</u>,

$$\underbrace{\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 | T_J | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle}_{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2}} = \underbrace{\langle \lambda_a \lambda_b | T_J | \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle}_{\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{2} \to \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}}$$

 $\mathbf{\mathbb{R}} \ni \operatorname{Im}\langle\lambda_1\lambda_2|T_J|\lambda_a\lambda_b\rangle \sim \sum_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}\langle\lambda_1\lambda_2|T_J^{\dagger}|\lambda_1'\lambda_2'\rangle\langle\lambda_1'\lambda_2'|T_J|\lambda_a\lambda_b\rangle \in \mathbf{\mathbb{R}}$ 

Considering intermediate strong interacting  $\pi N$  states, Watson's theorem for the weak  $WN \rightarrow N\pi$  process implies,



In terms  $\pi N | p; LSJM \rangle$  states

$$\sum_{L} \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{2J+1}} \left(L\frac{1}{2}J|0\lambda_{N}^{\prime}\lambda_{N}^{\prime}\right) \underbrace{\left\langle L\frac{1}{2}J|T_{J}|L\frac{1}{2}J\right\rangle^{*}}_{\pi N \to \pi N} \underbrace{\left\langle L\frac{1}{2}J|T_{J}|\lambda_{N}\lambda_{W}\right\rangle}_{WN \to N\pi} \in \mathbb{R}$$

For J = 3/2, T = 3/2 and neglecting the L = 2 multipole,

$$\left\langle \mathbf{P_{33}} \left| \mathbf{T_{J=\frac{3}{2},T=\frac{3}{2}}^{\mathbf{WN}\to\mathbf{N}\pi} \right| \mathbf{J} = \frac{3}{2}, \mathbf{M} = \lambda_{\mathbf{N}} - \lambda_{\mathbf{W}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{N}}\lambda_{\mathbf{W}} \right\rangle \times \underbrace{e^{-i\delta_{P_{33}}(s)}}_{\mathbf{L}_{2\mathbf{J}2\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{N}\pi \text{ phase shift}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

There is a total of 6  $[(\lambda_N = \pm \frac{1}{2}) \times (\lambda_W = 0, \pm 1)]$  amplitudes which should have the same phase  $(\delta_{P_{33}}(s), s = (p_N + p_\pi)^2)$ .

Using CM three momentum helicity states  $|p;\theta\phi\lambda_1\lambda_2\rangle$ 

$$\begin{split} |P_{33}M\rangle &= \int d\Omega \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \mathcal{D}_{M\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}*}(\phi,\theta,-\phi) \left(1\frac{1}{2}\frac{3}{2}|0\lambda\lambda\right) |p;\theta\phi\lambda\rangle \\ |p;\theta=0\phi=0\lambda_N\lambda_W\rangle &= \sum_{J} \sqrt{\frac{2J+1}{4\pi}} |p;JM=\lambda_N-\lambda_W,\lambda_N\lambda_W\rangle \\ \mathbf{d}\Omega \sum_{\lambda} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_N-\lambda_W\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\phi,\theta,-\phi) \left(1\frac{1}{2}\frac{3}{2}|0\lambda\lambda\right) \underbrace{\left\langle p';\theta\phi\lambda \middle| T_{J=\frac{3}{2},T=\frac{3}{2}}^{WN\to N\pi} \middle| p;00\lambda_N\lambda_W \right\rangle}_{\text{related to }\mathbf{\bar{u}}(\mathbf{p}',\lambda)(\mathbf{O}_{\mu}\epsilon_{\lambda_W}^{\mu})\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p},\lambda_N)} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{i}\delta_{\mathbf{P}33}} \in \mathbb{R} \end{split}$$

There is a total of 6  $[(\lambda_N = \pm \frac{1}{2}) \times (\lambda_W = 0, \pm 1)]$  amplitudes which should have the same phase  $(\delta_{P_{33}}(s), s = (p_N + p_\pi)^2)$ .

We force the correct phase for <u>two</u> different linear combinations of these amplitudes that correspond to the two multipoles where the  $\Delta$  mechanism (vector and axial contributions) is dominant. For instance, in the case of the vector  $\Delta$  contribution, this is the  $M_{1+}$  multipole. We denote the corresponding axial multipole as  $\mathcal{A}_{\Delta}$ .

We follow a generalization of M.G. Olsson's procedure [NPB 78 (1974) 55] introducing two small phases  $\phi_{\mathbf{V},\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}^2)$  which correct the vector and axial  $\Delta$  contributions such that

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\left(T_{\Delta}^{V,A}(s,q^{2})\mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\phi_{\mathbf{V},\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{q}^{2})}+T_{B}^{V,A}(s,q^{2})\right)^{M_{1+};\mathcal{A}_{\Delta}}\mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{i}\delta_{\mathbf{P_{33}}}(\mathbf{s})}\right]=0$$







J. Nieves, IFIC, CSIC & University of Valencia

We include chiral background terms in a combined fit to ANL & BNL data that takes into account <u>deuteron effects</u>, flux normalization uncertainties and unitarity corrections (Watson's theorem)

We use a simpler dipole parameterization for  $C_5^A(q^2)$ 

$$C_5^A(q^2) = \frac{C_5^A(0)}{\left(1 - q^2/M_{A\Delta}^2\right)^2}$$

Using Adler's constraints we obtain (preliminary results)

 $C_5^A(0) = 1.12 \pm 0.11, \quad M_{A\Delta} = 0.95 \pm 0.06 \text{ GeV}, \quad (\text{with unitarity corrections})$  $C_5^A(0) = 1.00 \pm 0.11, \quad M_{A\Delta} = 0.93 \pm 0.07 \text{ GeV}, \quad (\text{without unitarity corrections})$ 

 $C_5^A(0)$  compatible with its GTR value (~ 1.2) at the  $1\sigma$  ( $2\sigma$ ) level.

#### Comparison with ANL & BNL data



#### Comparison with ANL & BNL data



J. Nieves, IFIC, CSIC & University of Valencia



J. Nieves, IFIC, CSIC & University of Valencia

## Parity violation



$$L^{(\nu)}_{\mu\sigma} = (\mathbf{L}^{(\nu)}_{\mathbf{s}})_{\mu\sigma} + \mathrm{i}(\mathbf{L}^{(\nu)}_{\mathbf{a}})_{\mu\sigma} = k'_{\mu}k_{\sigma} + k'_{\sigma}k_{\mu} - g_{\mu\sigma}k \cdot k' + \mathrm{i}\epsilon_{\mu\sigma\alpha\beta}k'^{\alpha}k^{\beta}$$

By construction (similar for both CC and NC),

$$W^{\mu\sigma} = \mathbf{W}^{\mu\sigma}_{\mathbf{s}} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\sigma}_{\mathbf{a}}, \quad W^{\mu\nu}_{s,a} = \left(W^{\mu\nu}_{s,a}\right)^{\mathrm{PC}} + \left(\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}_{\mathbf{s},\mathbf{a}}\right)^{\mathrm{PV}}$$

$$(W_{s}^{\mu\nu})^{PC} = W_{1}g^{\mu\nu} + W_{2}p^{\mu}p^{\nu} + W_{3}q^{\mu}q^{\nu} + W_{4}k_{\pi}^{\mu}k_{\pi}^{\nu} + \cdots$$

$$(W_{a}^{\mu\nu})^{PC} = W_{14}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{\alpha}q_{\beta} + W_{15}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{\alpha}k_{\pi\beta} + W_{16}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}q_{\alpha}k_{\pi\beta} + \cdots$$

$$(\mathbf{W}_{s}^{\mu\nu})^{PV} = \mathbf{W}_{8}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\mu}\epsilon_{.\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\nu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\alpha}\mathbf{p}^{\beta}\mathbf{q}^{\gamma} + \mathbf{q}^{\nu}\epsilon_{.\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\mu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\alpha}\mathbf{p}^{\beta}\mathbf{q}^{\gamma}\right) + \cdots$$

$$(\mathbf{W}_{a}^{\mu\nu})^{PV} = \mathbf{W}_{11}(\mathbf{q}^{\mu}\mathbf{p}^{\nu} - \mathbf{q}^{\nu}\mathbf{p}^{\mu}) + \mathbf{W}_{12}(\mathbf{q}^{\mu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\nu} - \mathbf{q}^{\nu}\mathbf{k}_{\pi}^{\mu}) + \cdots$$

## **Under Parity**

$$L^{(\nu)}_{\mu\nu} \to (L^{\nu\mu})^{(\nu)}, \quad (W_{\mu\nu})^{\rm PC} \to (W^{\nu\mu})^{\rm PC}, \quad (\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu})^{\rm PV} \to -(\mathbf{W}^{\nu\mu})^{\rm PV}$$

- $d^{5}\sigma/d\Omega(\hat{k'})dE'd\Omega(\hat{k}_{\pi})$  is not inv. under parity, since the pseudovector  $\vec{k} \times \vec{k'}$  is used to define the Y axis.
- $d^{3}\sigma/d\Omega(\hat{k}')dE'$  <u>scalar</u>, except for the factor  $|\vec{k}'|/|\vec{k}| \Rightarrow$  parity violation **disappears** when performing the  $\int d\Omega^{*}(\hat{k}_{\pi})$



• Non-resonant terms are needed to produce non-vanishing parity violating structure functions

**<u>Conclusions</u>: We have derived a model** for CC and NC weak pion production off the nucleon

- 1. In addition to the  $\Delta$  resonance, we include nonresonant contributions  $\leftarrow$  QCD S $\chi$ SB.
- 2. Non resonant contributions are important  $\Rightarrow$  readjust of  $C_5^A(q^2)$ . GTR prediction  $C_5^A(0) \sim 1.2$ .
  - Fit to ANL  $\Rightarrow C_5^A(0) = 0.867 \pm 0.075$
  - Fit to ANL & BNL + normalization uncertainties + deuteron effects  $\Rightarrow C_5^A(0) = 1.00 \pm 0.11$
  - Fit to ANL & BNL + normalization uncertainties + deuteron effects + unitarity corrections (Watson's theorem)  $\Rightarrow C_5^A(0) = 1.12 \pm 0.11$

- 3.  $\nu \bar{\nu}$  Asymmetries, distinguish  $\nu_{\tau}$  from  $\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$  ?
- 4. Parity violation effects due to the interferences between the non resonant and  $\Delta$  contributions.
- 5. Starting point to study inclusive and exclusive neutrino-nucleus scattering above the QE region.
- 6. Higher  $W(N\pi)$ , we include the  $N^*(1520)$  resonance

## **Back up material**

In some of the fits we relaxed Adler's constraints allowing

$$C_{3,4}^{A}(q^{2}) = C_{3,4}^{A}(0) \frac{C_{5}^{A}(q^{2})}{C_{5}^{A}(0)}$$

exploring the possibility of extracting some direct information on  $C_{3,4}^A(0)$ 

	$C_5^A(0)$	$M_{A\Delta}/{ m GeV}$	$C_3^A(0)$	$C_4^A(0)$	$\chi^2/{ m dof}$
I <sup>*</sup> (only $\Delta P$ )	$1.08\pm0.10$	$0.92\pm0.06$	Ad	Ad	0.36
II*	$0.95\pm0.11$	$0.92\pm0.08$	Ad	Ad	0.49
III (only $\Delta P$ )	$1.13 \pm 0.10$	$0.93\pm0.06$	Ad	Ad	0.32
$\mathbf{IV}$	$1.00\pm0.11$	$0.93\pm0.07$	Ad	Ad	0.42
V	$1.08\pm0.14$	$0.91\pm0.10$	$-1.0 \pm 1.4$	Ad	0.40
VI	$1.08\pm0.14$	$0.86 \pm 0.15$	Ad	$-1.0 \pm 1.3$	0.40
VII	$1.07\pm0.15$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$1\pm 4$	$-2 \pm 4$	0.44

\* No deuteron effects included.









Gargamelle chamber: propane + small admixture freon CF3Br



Below the  $\tau$  prod. threshold, Distinguish  $\nu_{\tau}$  from  $\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$ ?

#### $\sigma_{\rm NC}/\sigma_{\rm CC}$ ANL cross sections at E = 0.6 - 1.2 GeV

	ANL	Our results
$R_{+} = \sigma(\nu p \to \nu n \pi^{+}) / \sigma(\nu p \to \mu^{-} p \pi^{+})$	$0.12\pm0.04$	0.12 - 0.10
$R_0 = \sigma(\nu p \to \nu p \pi^0) / \sigma(\nu p \to \mu^- p \pi^+)$	$0.09\pm0.05$	0.18 - 0.14
$R_{-} = \sigma(\nu n \to \nu p \pi^{-}) / \sigma(\nu p \to \mu^{-} p \pi^{+})$	$0.11\pm0.022$	0.12 - 0.09

NC: Cross sections ( $10^{-38}$  cm<sup>2</sup>) for  $\langle E \rangle = 2.2$  GeV (<u>no cut in W</u>)

	CERN	Our results
$\sigma(\nu p \to \nu p \pi^0)$	$0.130 \pm 0.020$	$0.105 {\pm} 0.006$
$\sigma(\nu p \to \nu n \pi^+)$	$0.080 \pm 0.020$	$0.091 {\pm} 0.003$
$\sigma(\nu n \to \nu n \pi^0)$	$0.080 \pm 0.020$	$0.104 {\pm} 0.006$
$\sigma(\nu n \to \nu p \pi^-)$	$0.110 \pm 0.030$	$0.082 {\pm} 0.003$

# **Dependence on** $\theta_{\pi}^*$ (<u>CM $\pi N$ pion polar angle</u>). Lorentz invariance (f.i., CC) $\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{d^{5}\sigma_{\nu_{l}l}}{d\Omega(\hat{k}')dE'd\Omega^{*}(\hat{k}_{\pi})} = \frac{|\vec{k}'|}{|\vec{k}|} \frac{G^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} \left\{ \underbrace{A^{*} + B^{*}\cos\phi_{\pi}^{*} + C^{*}\cos 2\phi_{\pi}^{*}}_{+ \underbrace{D^{*}\sin\phi_{\pi}^{*} + E^{*}\sin 2\phi_{\pi}^{*}}_{\text{parity violating}} \right\}$$

- **Explicit**  $\phi_{\pi}$  dependence
- $A^*, B^*, C^*, D^*, E^*$  functions of  $E, q^2, W, \theta^*_{\pi}$
- $C^*$  and  $E^*$  are the same  $\nu$  and  $\bar{\nu}$ , when  $(W^{\mu\sigma})^{(\bar{\nu})} = (W^{\mu\sigma})^{(\nu)}$
- J. Nieves, IFIC, CSIC & University of Valencia





## ... new NC neutrino-antineutrino asymmetries

