CBC deadtime

previously discussed in May 2012 systems meeting

https://indico.cern.ch/getFile.py/access?contribId=0&sessionId=0&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=191199

repeat some of it here to introduce

add some further information

reminder of issue(1)

the story up to now

pulse shape longer than single bunch crossing (can be shortened at expense of undershoot)

=> comparator output high for > 25 nsec



post-amp output pulse

0.1 uA

1.1 uA 2.1 uA

3.1 uA

0.66

0.64



25 nsec / division

reminder of issue(3) - optimum pulse shape



100% efficiency plateau attainable even for very high occupancies

unprocessed comparator used (no hit detect)

get >100% in places because sampling comparator output from same pulse more than once

but pulse doesn't have to return to baseline within 25 ns,

only within 50 nsec

can get this pulse shape by tuning existing CBC front end

noise increase ~ 10% over more unipolar shape => 800 -> 880 electrons



reminder of issue(4)



conclusions from last time

further work needed, but

seems as though very fast pulse shape may not be required

return to baseline within 50 ns is sufficient

baseline undershoot does not seem to cause a big effect

continuing the story

simulations up to now use realistic pulse shapes from SPICE

but no noise

which will affect efficiency and generate fake hits depending on comparator threshold

have made some additions to simulation to study these effects



can position comparator threshold anywhere in this region

have previously used comp threshold of MPV/4

new simulation conditions

occupancy: 2% (pessimistic)

simulated noise added: 1000e

comparator thresholds at 5000e, 4000e, 3000e (5, 4, 3 sigma)

most probable signal level values (MPV):

24,000 e	:	300 um sensor
12,000 e	:	300 um sensor, charge shared
16,000 e	:	200 um sensor
8,000e	:	200 um sensor, charge shared
12,000 e	:	200 um sensor, radiation damaged
6,000 e	•	200 um sensor, radiation damaged, charge shared

looking at

% of true hits found hit was randomly generated and subsequently found

% of fake hits found noise hits found as percentage of total hits found

time domain noise generation in SPICE

gaussian white noise

noise at amplifier output



example - before noise added



400.0

example - after noise added



if hit detected doesn't corresponds to original hit generated, then "fake" hit

MPV = 6000e threshold = 3000e

1000e noise added ~ 98% efficient for true hits but ~6% fake hits due to noise



results so far

	"Landau"	5000e threshold		4000e threshold		3000e threshold		1000e noise	
	IMP V	% true hits	% fake hits	% true hits	% fake hits	% true hits	% fake hits	2% occupancy 20,000 bunch crossings	
300um	24,000e	100	0	100	0.24	100	7.2	=> ~ 400 hits => statistics not huge (limited by simulated noise waveform length)	
	12,000e	99.8	0	99.8	0.24	100	5.7		
200um -	16,000e	100	0	100	0.24	100	7.6≁	statistical effect - fakes due to noise shouldn't depend on signal size	
	8,000e	95.0	0	98.6	0.24	99.8	5.1 🗸		
200um	12,000e	99.8	0	99.8	0.24	100	5.7		
+ damage	6,000e	80	0	91.1	0.26	97.4	5.4		

conditions

good efficiency and low fake rate for signal MPV > 8,000e and thresholds of 4000e 8000e MPV @ 5000e threshold -> low efficiency for true hits

6000e MPV altogether too low -> low efficiency for true hits at any reasonable threshold

3000e threshold too low -> fake rate too high



slides from previous talk follow

dead-time caused by pile-up



effects of dead-time

simulation results from ~3 meetings ago

random time distribution of hits generated for specific occupancy

SPICE simulated pulse-shapes

Landau distributed amplitudes

get loss of efficiency depending on occupancy

(100% efficient if all hits identified)

shorter pulse shape (with undershoot) gives higher efficiency

~ 100% efficient for 50 ns bunch spacing

efficiency will mostly impact on Pt stub identification





25 nsec / division



but what if don't use "hit detect" ?



just sample the comparator output at the right time appropriate adjustment of this time is important

but 100% efficiency is now possible

can we get this without going for so short a pulse? how long can pulse actually be?



optimal length pulse



intuitively can be seen that optimum pulse shape rises, peaks and returns to baseline within 50 ns (actually what we have in the APV after deconvolution)

if this condition met then pile-up is **not** an issue

=> very fast pulse shape is **not** required (I think)

close-to-optimum pulse shape?



> 100% efficiency explanation





non-optimal sampling

what about undershoot effect?



plot shows frequency of observing a particular separation between hits generated randomly

average interval is 10 (for 10% occupancy) but more likely to see a shorter interval than a longer one

the higher the occupancy the more likely a following pulse will sit on the undershooting tail of the previous pulse

will have a distorting effect on the pulse height distribution

reminder of pulse generation method

3% occupancy



randomly generate time distribution of hits with desired occupancy

for each hit generate an output pulse shape (from SPICE) scaling the amplitude using a value randomly sampled from a "Landau-like" distribution

combine into single data stream

can now re-generate pulse height distribution from single data stream and compare with original Landau ²³

undershoot effect on pulse height distributions



unipolar pulse (no undershoot)

"landau" skewed to higher amplitudes

very fast pulse (undershoot)

"landau" skewed to lower amplitudes

"optimum" pulse (undershoot)

"landau" skewed to even lower amplitudes

notes:

these results are for 10% occupancy to exaggerate effect no electronic noise included

dead-time effects summary

further work needed, but

seems as though very fast pulse shape may not be required

return to baseline within 50 ns is sufficient

baseline undershoot does not seem to cause a big effect